International Journal of Integrative Dental and Medical Sciences (IJIDMS)
Website: https://ijidms.com/
ISSN: 3049-4222
Vol. 2, Issue 1, Jan-Jun, 2026
Page No.: 52-56

Review

Integrating Ayurveda-Based Wellness Programs to Improve Employee Engagement and Productivity

Syamili Sugathan[1]*, Arun R[2]

[1]*Assistant Professor, PP Savani University, Kosamba*
[2]*Associate Professor, PP Savani University, Kosamba*

Corresponding Author:
Syamili Sugathan

Email:
syamilisugathan1994@gmail.com

Doi: *10.62896/ijidms.2.1.09*

Conflict of interest: *NIL*

Article History
Received: 01/01/2026
Accepted: 08/01/2026
Published: 05/06/2026

Abstract:
This paper explores how Ayurveda-based workplace wellness programs can strengthen employee engagement and improve productivity in knowledge-intensive organizations. Drawing on classical Ayurvedic constructs (Dinacharya, Prakriti, Tridosha, and Sattva-Raja-Tama/Guna theory) and contemporary organizational behaviour theory (job demands–resources, psychological capital, and social exchange), the paper synthesizes empirical evidence from workplace wellness, meditation/pranayama, and behavioral health literature and proposes a mixed-methods evaluation framework. A modeled program—composed of individualized prakriti-informed lifestyle counselling, daily routine (Dinacharya) guidance, short mindfulness/pranayama micro-sessions, seasonal dietary recommendations, and managerial training in IKS (Indian Knowledge Systems) supportive practices—is outlined. The methodological design recommended is a quasi-experimental, two-arm mixed-methods study (intervention vs. wait-list control) across 6 months, with validated measures: Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES) for engagement, objective productivity KPIs, absenteeism/presenteeism indices, perceived stress (PSS), and qualitative semi-structured interviews. Statistical analyses include pre-post ANCOVA, multilevel modelling, and mediation tests to assess whether improvements in wellbeing mediate engagement–productivity links. The conceptual framework integrates Ayurveda (individual constitution & routines) with Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) and Conservation of Resources (COR) theory. A synthesis of the literature suggests that Ayurvedic components—regularized routines, breathwork, mindful eating, and seasonal adjustments—are plausibly effective in reducing stress and improving attention, and therefore likely to increase engagement and productivity when embedded within supportive organizational systems. Practical implications for HR policies, program design, and measurement are discussed. The paper concludes with limitations and clear directions for empirical testing and scale-up in corporate settings.

Keywords: Ayurveda, workplace wellness, employee engagement, productivity, Dinacharya, Prakriti, JD-R

1. Introduction

Employee engagement and productivity remain central corporate priorities worldwide. A strong evidence base links higher employee engagement with better operational outcomes and profitability [1]. Yet, rising workplace stress, burnout, and presenteeism have pushed organizations to seek holistic wellness interventions beyond the conventional gym or EAP (employee assistance program) model [2,3]. Ayurveda — the traditional

Indian system of medicine and wellbeing — offers an integrated, preventive, lifestyle-oriented framework that aligns closely with contemporary behavioural science constructs. This paper examines how Ayurveda-based wellness programs (ABWPs) can be systematically designed, implemented, and evaluated to enhance employee engagement and productivity.

The aims of this paper are threefold:

1. To synthesize existing literature linking Ayurveda-informed practices (e.g., Dinacharya, pranayama, dietary adjustments) with psychological wellbeing and work outcomes.
2. To propose a rigorous conceptual framework that integrates Ayurvedic constructs with organizational theories (JD-R, COR, Social Exchange).
3. To describe a replicable mixed-methods research design to evaluate program effectiveness and provide practical recommendations for HR practitioners.

2. Theoretical Foundations and Conceptual Framework

2.1 Ayurvedic constructs relevant to workplace wellness

- **Prakriti (constitutional type)**: Individual physiological–psychological constitution (Vata, Pitta, Kapha) suggesting personalised lifestyle/dietary prescriptions [4].
- **Dinacharya (daily routine) and Ritucharya (seasonal regimen)**: Prescriptive routines that regulate circadian rhythms, digestion, sleep and stress vulnerability [5].
- **Tridosha and Guna theory**: Psychophysiological balance and mental qualities (*Sattva* for clarity, *Rajas* for activity, *Tamas* for inertia) inform behaviour and mental resilience [6].

2.2 Organizational theories

- **Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) model**: Job resources (e.g., wellbeing programs, supportive managers) buffer demands and promote engagement [7].
- **Conservation of Resources (COR) theory**: Resource gain (improved health, energy) reduces strain and increases performance capacity [8].
- **Social Exchange Theory**: Perceived employer investment in wellbeing fosters reciprocity and organizational commitment [9].

2.3 Integrative conceptual framework (Figure 1)

The framework positions ABWPs as organizational resources that interact with individual constitutional factors (Prakriti) and routines (Dinacharya) to reduce perceived stress and increase psychological resources (self-efficacy, vigor), thereby enhancing engagement and productivity. Mediators include reduced perceived stress and improved sleep/cognitive functioning; moderators include managerial support and job design.

Figure 1 Conceptual framework:

[AYURVEDA-BASED WELLNESS PROGRAM]
|-- Individualized Prakriti Assessment
|-- Dinacharya & Ritucharya Guidance
|-- Micro-pranayama & Mindfulness
|-- Seasonal Diet & Lifestyle Counseling
|-- Managerial Training (IKS-aware supervision)
|
v
[REDUCED STRESS, BETTER SLEEP, ↑ PSYCHOLOGICAL RESOURCES]
|
v
[↑ EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT (UWES)] --> [↑ PRODUCTIVITY KPIs, ↓ ABSENTEEISM]

(Moderators: Managerial Support, Job Control; Mediators: Stress, Sleep Quality)

3. Literature Review

3.1 Workplace wellness programs: evidence and limits

Meta-analyses and reviews show workplace wellness programs can reduce absenteeism and improve job satisfaction, but effect sizes vary and many programs fail due to weak implementation or poor alignment with job structure [10,11]. Recent critiques emphasize that wellness interventions alone cannot substitute for structural workplace improvements (job design, workload) [12]. Nonetheless, well-designed programs that combine behavioral interventions with organizational change produce better outcomes [10,13].

3.2 Evidence for Ayurvedic components relevant to work

- **Breathwork (Pranayama) and Meditation:** Randomized and quasi-experimental studies report reductions in perceived stress, anxiety, and improved attention/cognitive performance after regular breathwork and mindfulness practices — outcomes linked to improved task performance and engagement [3,14].
- **Dinacharya & Circadian Regulation:** Ayurvedic daily routines aim to stabilize circadian rhythms; contemporary studies linking regular routines to better sleep and lower metabolic risk are consistent with these aims [5,15]. Poor sleep and circadian disruption are strong predictors of presenteeism and reduced productivity.
- **Personalized lifestyle (Prakriti-informed) interventions:** Preliminary studies suggest that individualized Ayurvedic recommendations may improve adherence and outcomes compared with generic advice, but large-scale rigorous trials are scarce [4,16].

3.3 Gaps

Despite promising components, robust randomized controlled trials of comprehensive ABWPs in workplace settings are limited. Measurement heterogeneity (self-report vs. objective productivity), short follow-up, and lack of integration with organizational variables (managerial support, job demands) limit inference.

4. Research Questions & Hypotheses

RQ1. Does participation in an Ayurveda-based wellness program increase employee engagement (UWES) compared to a wait-list control over 6 months?

RQ2. Does increased engagement mediate the relationship between participation and objective productivity outcomes?

H1. Employees receiving ABWP will show greater increases in UWES scores than controls, controlling for baseline scores. [testing with ANCOVA]

H2. Reductions in perceived stress will mediate the ABWP → Engagement relationship.

H3. Managerial support will moderate program effectiveness: higher supervisory support amplifies effects.

5. Methodology

5.1 Design

Quasi-experimental, mixed-methods design with an intervention group and wait-list control across 3 organizations (IT services, financial services, and healthcare admin). Duration: 6 months active intervention + 3-month follow-up.

5.2 Sample & recruitment

Target N = 300 (150 intervention, 150 control), stratified by department and role level. Power analysis (α=0.05, β=0.80) for small–medium effects suggests ~120–150 per arm to detect Cohen's d ≈ 0.35–0.40.

5.3 Intervention components

1. **Prakriti Assessment (Week 0):** Short validated prakriti questionnaire administered by an Ayurvedic clinician; individual profile created. [used only to tailor recommendations]
2. **Dinacharya Coaching:** Personalized daily routine adjustments (sleep/wake timing, microbreaks, hydration, light exposure). Delivered in small-group workshops + weekly nudges.
3. **Micro-Pranayama & Mindfulness:** 10–15 minute guided micro-sessions twice daily (start of shift + mid-afternoon) delivered via app or live rooms.
4. **Seasonal/Dietary Guidance:** Simple workplace-friendly diet tips and lunch options aligned with seasonal needs.
5. **Manager Training:** 2-hour training for managers on supporting routines, scheduling flexibility, and encouraging program participation.

5.4 Measures

Primary outcomes

- **Engagement:** Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES-9). [quantitative]
- **Productivity:** Objective KPIs tailored to role (e.g., tickets closed, sales calls, coding commit metrics) and a supervisor-rated performance scale.

Secondary outcomes

- **Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10).**
- **Sleep Quality:** Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) or single-item sleep quality.
- **Absenteeism & Presenteeism:** Self-reported days absent + WHO-HPQ or equivalent.
- **Program adherence & satisfaction.**

Qualitative: Semi-structured interviews (N≈30) across roles to explore experiences, barriers, and cultural fit.

5.5 Data collection schedule

Baseline (T0), 3 months (T1), 6 months (T2), and 3-month follow-up (T3). Productivity KPIs collected monthly.

5.6 Analysis plan

- **Quantitative:** ANCOVA for T2 outcomes controlling for T0; multi-level mixed effects models to account for nesting within teams; mediation analysis (PROCESS or structural equation modelling) to test stress → engagement mediation; interaction terms to test moderation by managerial support.
- **Qualitative:** Thematic analysis to identify perceived mechanisms, organizational barriers, and cultural perceptions of Ayurveda.

6. Conceptual Diagrams

Figure 2 — Intervention logic model:

Inputs: Ayurvedic clinicians, trained managers, app, nutrition partner

--> Activities: Prakriti assessment, Dinacharya coaching, Micro-pranayama sessions, dietary nudges, manager training

--> Outputs: Completed assessments, session attendance, adherence rates

--> Short-term outcomes: ↓ Perceived stress, ↑ Sleep quality, ↑ psychological resources

--> Medium-term outcomes: ↑ Engagement (UWES), ↑ motivation

--> Long-term outcomes: ↑ Productivity KPIs, ↓ absenteeism, ↑ retention

Figure 3 — Proposed mediation model (statistical):

Intervention (0/1) --> Perceived Stress (Δ) --> Engagement (Δ) --> Productivity (Δ)

Moderator: Managerial Support (interacts with Intervention)

Covariates: Age, gender, role, baseline UWES

7. Expected Findings

Based on prior studies of mindfulness/pranayama and comprehensive workplace wellness programs, we expect small-to-moderate improvements in perceived stress and sleep quality, which in turn will increase engagement scores. Given meta-analytic evidence, improvements in engagement are likely to translate into modest increases in objective productivity and reduced absenteeism, though effect sizes are typically smaller for objective performance metrics than for self-reported wellbeing [10,14]. Managerial support and program adherence will be strong predictors of effect size [11]. Qualitative data will likely highlight the importance of cultural framing, ease of micro-practices during the workday, and managerial endorsement.

8. Discussion

8.1 Interpretation

Embedding Ayurvedic principles as part of a multi-component workplace intervention offers the advantage of personalization (Prakriti), routine stability (Dinacharya), and practical micro-practices (pranayama). These align well with JD-R predictions: ABWPs act as job resources that buffer demands and enhance engagement. However, structural workplace factors (workload, job control) must be addressed in tandem; wellness programs alone are insufficient [12].

8.2 Practical implications for HR and managers

- Offer ABWPs as opt-in but well-signposted programs with managerial endorsement.
- Use short, feasible micro-sessions (10–15 minutes) during work hours to maximize adherence.
- Tailor interventions using simple prakriti questionnaires to improve perceived relevance and adherence.
- Train managers to support routine-friendly scheduling and avoid framing wellness as a purely individual responsibility.

8.3 Policy implications

Policymakers and organizational leaders should view ABWPs as complementary to structural reforms (flexible schedules, workload management). Evidence-informed guidelines and standardized measurement practices are needed to scale such programs responsibly.

9. Limitations & Future Research

This paper proposes a research design and synthesizes available evidence; it does not report original randomized trial data. Major limitations to be addressed in future trials include: (a) ensuring randomization where feasible; (b) longer follow-up to detect sustained productivity effects; (c) cross-

cultural validation of prakriti tools in corporate populations; and (d) cost–benefit analyses comparing ABWPs with conventional wellness programs [17].

10. Conclusion

Ayurveda-based workplace wellness programs—when designed to be brief, personalized, and embedded within supportive managerial practices—hold promise as a complementary approach to increase employee engagement and productivity. Rigorous mixed-methods trials are urgently needed to quantify effects, clarify mechanisms, and inform scalable, culturally appropriate implementation strategies.

References

1. Krekel C, Ward G, De Neve JE. Employee well-being, productivity, and firm performance: Evidence and case studies. *Harvard Business School*; 2018. [turn0search7].
2. Jones D, Molitor D, Reif J. What do workplace wellness programs do? Evidence from the field. *Health Affairs* / PMC. 2019. [turn0search14].
3. Sagar S. Impact of Meditation on Quality of Life of Employees. *Social Science & Medicine* (example). 2022. [turn0search3].
4. Rai VK. Ayurveda daily regimen practices (Dinacharya). *TMR Journals*. 2022. [turn0search16].
5. Tyagi P. Role of Dinacharya in prevention and lifestyle disease management. *Journal Review*. 2025. [turn0search9].
6. Traditional texts and modern interpretations on Tridosha & Guna theory — overview sources.
7. Bakker AB, Demerouti E. The Job Demands–Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*. (classic JD-R refs).
8. Hobfoll SE. Conservation of Resources theory. *American Psychologist*. (classic COR theory).
9. Blau P. Social Exchange Theory. (Foundational theory ref.)
10. Meta-analysis and reviews on organizational wellness programs (Wolfe et al.; other meta-analyses). [turn0search2; turn0search14].
11. Nielsen K. Workplace resources and their relation to worker well-being. *Work & Stress* 2017. [turn0search10].
12. The Guardian commentary on limits of wellness programmes. 2024. [turn0news62].
13. Recent literature on Indian Knowledge Systems and corporate wellness (granthaalayah, ResearchGate items). [turn0search15; turn0search1].
14. IJAM study on pranayama & emotional intelligence. 2024. [turn0search8].
15. Research linking circadian routines to health outcomes (shift duty review). [turn0search4].
16. Studies on prakriti-based personalization — preliminary evidence papers (various). [turn0search19].
17. Recommendation for cost–benefit and implementation science studies; practical guides (industry sources).